

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE

09/064,057

04/22/98

GERARD

0942.4330002

HM22/1015

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER

MONSHIPOURI,M

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT**

1652 DATE MAILED:

10/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/064,057

Applicant(s)

Applic

Evaminer

Maryam Monshipouri

Gerard et al.

Art Unit **1652**



	L I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears	on the cover sh t with th correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		:
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replibe considered timely. 	bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	\$
Status		
1) 🗓 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>CPA requ</u>	uest filed 8/14/01	
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>26, 28, 33, 34, 37, 39, 40, and 117-135</u>	is/are pending in t	he applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	m considera
5) Claim(s)	is/are allow	ed.
6) X Claim(s) 26, 28, 33, 34, 37, 39, 40, and 117-135	is/are reject	ed.
7) Claim(s)	is/are object	ted to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requiren
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)⊡disapproved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	ier.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority.	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	e been received in Application No.	<u> </u>
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	u (PC) Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p		
	•	
Attachment(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	20) Other:	
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	ZU) [_] Olliei.	

Application/Control Number: 09/064,057

Art Unit: 1652

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 8/14/2001 (Paper #35) for a Continued Prosecution Application
 (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/064,057 is acceptable and a
 CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

claims 26, 28, 33-34, 37, 39-40 and 117-135 are pending in the application.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/064,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 26, 28, 33-34, 37, 39-40 and 117-135 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 190-191 of U.S. Patent No. 6,603,608 (5/16/2000). As mentioned previously although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of claims 190-191 directed to a method of producing a reverse transcriptase having DNA polymerase activity and reduced RNAse H activity in U.S. Patent 6,603, 608 embraces the scope of instant claims. In response to this rejection applicant requested to hold this rejection in abeyance until identification of patentable subject matter in the present application, at which time applicants will consider filing a terminal disclaimer.

As indicated in the advisory action (paper # 31) the only issue remaining with regards to patentability of pending claims is the double patenting rejection indicated above. On 10/9/2001 the examiner called Mr. R. Esmond to arrange for a personal interview but her phone call was not returned by Mr. Esmond.

Finally, in view of absence a properly filed terminal disclaimer to overcome the above mentioned rejection claims 26, 28, 33-34, 37, 39-40 and 117-135 continue to be rejected.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/064,057 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Exami ner should be directed to Maryam Monshipouri, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1083.

The Examiner can normally be reached daily from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr.

P. Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The OFFICIAL fax number for Technology

Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 09/064,057

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maryam Monshipouri, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

REBECCA E. PROUTY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800-

16D